

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.unpto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/623,887	07/17/2003	Ulrich Posanski	4-20017E	7665	
1095 NOVARTIS	7590 04/14/200	9	EXAMINER		
CORPORATE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY			ROBERTS, LEZAH		
	H PLAZA 104/3 VER, NJ 07936-1080	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	,		1612		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/14/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

POSANSKI, ULRICH 10/623,887 Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit

Application No.

Applicant(s)

	LEZAH W. ROBERTS	1612					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DV. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the macrimum statutory period was a provision of the provision	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin till apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 Nc This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro		e merits is				
Disposition of Claims							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 11-22 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 11-22 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	a 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 C					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage				
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate					

3) X Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SE/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 27 Feb 2009.

Office Action Summary

Art Unit: 1612

DETAILED ACTION

Applicants' arguments, filed November 28, 2008, have been fully considered. Rejections and/or objections not reiterated from previous office actions are hereby withdrawn. The following rejections and/or objections are either reiterated or newly applied. They constitute the complete set presently being applied to the instant application.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action. This action is made NON-FINAL.

Claims

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112 - New Matter (New Rejection)

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 11-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The claims recite "consisting essentially of". The language does not appear to be disclosed and recited in the originally filed

Art Unit: 1612

disclosure and claims. Therefore the transitional language "consisting essentially of" is "New Matter".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102 - Anticipation (New Rejection)

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 11, 12, 14 and 16-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Uomoto et al. (US 5,380,745).

Uomoto et al. disclose medicinal compositions comprising a practically water-insoluble compound. The compositions may comprise either one or more compounds selected from either or both nonionic surfactants and fats and oils (Abstract).

Surfactants include sorbitan fatty acid esters, polyoxyethylene sorbitan fatty acid esters and polyglycerol fatty acid esters (col. 2, lines 48-61). Oils include soybean oil, rapeseed oil, castor oil and cotton seed oil (col. 2, lines 62-66). Example 9 discloses a mixture comprising 20 g (40%) soybean oil, 12.5 g (25%) of polyoxyethylene (20) monostearate, 12.5 g (25%) of sorbitan monoleate and 2 g (4%) of the active agent.

Art Unit: 1612

The example encompasses the instant claims. The compositions may be incorporated into capsules (see Example 3).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103 - Obviousness (New Rejection)

Claims 11-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Akiyama et al. (US 5,576,025) in view of Uomoto et al. (US 5,380,745)..

Akiyama et al. disclose compositions comprising a polyglycerol fatty acid and/or a lipid and an active agent (Abstract). Such composition can adhere to the digestive tract and remain there for a prolonged period of time, thereby increasing the bioavailability of the active ingredient. The amount of polyglycerol fatty acids in the matrix ranges 0.001 to 10,000 parts by weight of the active agent. The amount of lipid in the matrix ranges from 0.01 to 100 parts by weight of the active agent (col. 9, lines 51-67). Lipids include cottonseed oil and soybean oil. The amount of active in the matrix ranges from 0.0001 to 95% and includes ibuprofen col. 6, line 8). Surfactants may be used in the compositions and include polyoxyethylene-sorbitan fatty acid esters and sodium alkyl sulfates (col. 10, lines 20-23).

The reference differs from the instant claims insofar as it does not disclose amount of surfactant that may be used in the compositions.

Uomoto et al. is taught above and is used to disclose amounts of surfactants that may be used when delivering poorly soluble drugs. The compositions elevate water-solubility of the active compound and thus enhance the effect (Abstract). The nonionic surfactant may comprise 5 to 50 parts by weight of the active compound.

Art Unit: 1612

The reference differs from claims 13 and 15 insofar as it does not disclose the drugs of claim 13 and the specific polyglycerol fatty acid esters of claim 15.

Polyglycerol fatty acid and lipids may be used in combination with a nonionic surfactant. The amounts as disclosed by the combination of references range from 0.001 to 10,000 parts by weight, from 0.01 to 100 parts by weight and 5 to 50 parts by weight of the active agent, respectively. These amounts read on a 1:1:1:1, polyglycerol fatty acid, lipid, nonionic surfactant, and active agent, and are encompassed by the instant claims. The prior art does not disclose the exact claimed values, but does overlap: in such instances even a slight overlap in range establishes a *prima facie* case of obviousness. In re Peterson, 65 USPQ2d 1379, 1382 (Fed. Cir. 2003). The amounts as recited in the instant claims are obvious in view of the amounts disclosed by the combination of references disclosing the amount of polyglycerol fatty acid, lipid (oil) and a nonionic surfactant such as one having a HLB above 10 such as polyoxyethylene-sorbitan fatty acid esters consistent with In re Peterson.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to one ordinary skill in the art to have incorporated a surfactant into the compositions of Akiyama et al. in the amounts disclosed by Uomoto et al. motivated by the desire to use an amount suitable for use with a poorly water soluble active.

Claim 15, which recites specific polyglycerols such as polyglyceryl-3-monooleate, is encompassed by the disclosure of oleyl glycerides such as oleyl mono(hexa)glyceride (col. 4, lines 51-55) as disclosed by Akiyama et al.

Art Unit: 1612

It would also have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have used the compositions of Uomoto et al. to deliver the poorly soluble compounds of Akiyama et al. motivated by the desire to elevate water-solubility of the active compound and thus enhance the effect as disclosed by Uomoto et al.

Claims 11-22 are rejected.

No claims allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LEZAH W. ROBERTS whose telephone number is (571)272-1071. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Frederick F. Krass can be reached on 571-272-0580. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/623,887 Page 7

Art Unit: 1612

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Lezah W Roberts/ Examiner, Art Unit 1612

/Frederick Krass/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1612